## **Riferimenti bibliografici**

1. Kuwahara T, Asanami S, Kubo S. *Experimental infusion phlebitis: tolerance osmolality of peripheral venous endothelial cells*. Nutrition 1998;14(6): 496–501.

2. Isaacs JW, Millikan WJ, Stackhouse J, Hersh T, Rudman D. *Parenteral nutrition of adults with 900-milliosmolar solution via peripheral vein*. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 1977;30:552–9.

3. Hoffmann E. A randomised study of central venous versus peripheral intravenous nutrition in the perioperative period. Clinical Nutrition 1989;8(4):179–80.

4. Kane KF, Cologiovanni L, McKiernan J, Panos MZ, Ayres RC, Langman MJ, *et al. High osmolality feedings do not increase the incidence of thrombophlebitis during peripheral I.V. nutrition.* Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition 1996;20(3):194–7.

5. Matsusue S, Nishimura S, Koizumi S, Nakamura T, Takeda H. *Preventive effect of simultaneously infused lipid emulsion against thrombophlebitis during postoperative parenteral nutrition*. Surgery Today 1995;25(8):667–71.

6. Timmer JG, Schipper HG. *Peripheral venous nutrition: the equal relevance of volume load and osmolarity in relation to phlebitis*. Clinical Nutrition 1991;10(2):71–5.

7. Palmer D, McFie J. *Venous access for parenteral nutrition*. In: Payne James J, Grimble GK, editors. *Artificial nutrition support in clinical practice*. London: Silk DBA; 2001. p. 379–400.

8. Ryder M. *Evidence-based practice in the management of vascular access devices for home parenteral nutrition therapy*. Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition 2006;30:S82–93.

9. Raad I, Davis S, Becker M, Hohn D, Houston D, Umphrey J, Bodey GP, et al. Low infection rate and long durability of nontunneled silastic catheters. A safe costeffective alternative for long-term venous access. Archives of Internal Medicine 1993;153:1791–6.

10. Ryder M. *Peripheral access options*. Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America 1995;4:395–427.

11. Safdar N, Maki DG. *Risk of catheter-related bloodstream infection with peripherally inserted central venous catheters used in hospitalized patients*. Chest 2005;128:489–95.

12. Macdonald S, Watt AJB, McNally D, Edwards RD, Moss JG, et al. *Comparison of technical success and outcome of tunneled catheters inserted via the jugular and subclavian approaches.* Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology 2000;11:225–31.

13. Pittiruti M, Buononato M, Malerba M, Carriero C, Tazza L, Gui D, et al. Which is the easiest and safest technique for central venous access? A retrospective survey of more than 5,400 cases. Journal of Vascular Access 2000; 1: 100–7.

14. Pittiruti M, Migliorini I, Emoli A, et al. *Preventing central venous catheter related infections: catheter site selection and insertion technique significantly affect the chances of adequate catheter site care.* 20th Annual Congress European

Society of Intensive Care Medicine, Berlin, 2007, Intensive Care Medicine Suppl. Sept 2007, p. S13.

15. Randolph AG, Cook DJ, Gonzales CA, Pribble CG. Ultrasound guidance for placement of central venous catheters: a meta-analysis of the literature. Critical Care Medicine 1996; 24 (12): 2053–8.

16. Rothschild JM, The AHRQ Committee. *Making health care safer a critical analysis of patient safety practices. Evidence report/technology assessment: number 43.* AHRQ, www.ahrq.gov/clinic/ptsafety/summary.htm; July 2001.

17. Keenan SP. Use of ultrasound to place central lines. Journal of Critical Care 2002;17(2):126–37.

18. Hind D, Calvert N, McWilliams R, Davidson A, Paisley S, Beverley C, Thomas S, et al. *Ultrasonic locating devices for central venous cannulation: meta-analysis*. BMJ 2003;327 (7411): 361.

19. Calvert N, Hind D, McWilliams R, Davidson A, Beverley CA, Thomas SM, et al. *Ultrasound for central venous cannulation: economic evaluation of cost-effectiveness*. Anaesthesia 2004;59 (11): 1116–20.

20. Leung J, Duffy M, Finckh A. Real-time ultrasonographically-guided internal jugular vein catheterization in the emergency department increases success rates and reduces complications: a randomized, prospective study. Annals of Emergency Medicine 2006;48(5):540–7.

21. Karakitsos D, Labropoulos N, De Groot E, Patrianakos AP, Kouraklis G, Poularas J, et al. Real-time ultrasound-guided catheterisation of the internal jugular vein: a prospective comparison with the landmark technique in critical care patients. Critical Care, http://ccforum.com/content/10/6/R162, 2006;10:R162.

22. LeDonne J. *The age of reason: the end of blind sticking*. Annual Congress of the Association for Vascular Access, Phoenix, 2007. Handout on www.avainfo.org.

23. Parkinson R, Gandhi M, Harper J, Archibald C. *Establishing an ultrasound guided peripherally inserted central catheter (PICC) insertion service*. Clinical Radiology 1998;53:33–6.

24. Simcock L. *No going back: advantages of ultrasound guided upper arm PICC placement.* JAVA 2008;13 (4): 191–7.

25. Pittiruti M, Scoppettuolo G, Emoli A, et al. *Parenteral nutrition through ultrasound- placed PICCs and midline catheters is associated with a low rate of complications: an observational study*. Nutritional Therapy and Metabolism, in press.

26. Povoski SP. A prospective analysis of the cephalic vein cutdown approach for chronic indwelling central venous access in 100 consecutive cancer patients. Annals of Surgical Oncology 2000; 7: 496–502.

27. Silberzweig JE, Sacks D, Azita S, *The members of the Society of Interventional Radiology Technology Assessment Committee. Reporting standards for central venous access.* Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology 2003; 14: S443–52.

28. Francis KR, Picard DL, Fajardo MA, Pizzi WF. Avoiding complications and decreasing costs of central venous catheter placement utilizing

*electrocardiographic guidance*. Surgery Gynecology and Obstetrics 1992;175:208–11.

29. Pittiruti M, Scoppettuolo G, LaGreca A, et al. *The EKG method for positioning the tip of PICCs: results from two preliminary studies.* JAVA 2008; 13(4): 179–86.

30. Maki DG, Kluger DM, Crnich CJ. *The risk of bloodstream infection in adults with different intravascular devices: a systematic review of 200 published prospective studies*. Mayo Clinic Proceedings 2006;81(9): 1159–71.

31. Hockenhull JC, Dwan K, Boland A, et al. The clinical and cost effectiveness of central venous catheters treated with anti-microbial agents in preventing bloodstream infections: a systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technology Assessment, www.hta.nhsweb.nhs.uk; 2006.

32. Dezfulian C, Lavelle J, Nallamothu BK, Kaufman SR, Saint S, et al. Rates of infection for single-lumen versus multilumen central venous catheters: a metaanalysis. Critical Care Medicine 2003;31:2385–90.

33. Zürcher M, Trämer M, Walder B. Colonization and bloodstream infection with single- versus multi-lumen central venous catheters: a quantitative systematic review. Anesthesia and Analgesia 2004;99:177–82.

34. Garnacho-Montero J, Aldabo` s-Palla` s T, Palomar-Marti`nez M, Valles J, Almirante B, Garces R, et al. *Risk factors and prognosis of catheter related bloodstream infection in critically ill patients: a multicenter study.* Intensive Care Medicine 2008;34:2185–93.

35. Turcotte S, Dube` S, Beauchamp G. Peripherally inserted central venous catheters are not superior to central venous catheters in the acute are of surgical patients on the ward. World Journal of Surgery 2006; 30: 1605–19.

36. Deshpande KS, Hatem C, Ulrich HL, Currie BP, Aldrich TK, Bryan-Brown CW, Kvetan V, et al. The incidence of infectious complications of central venous catheters at the subclavian, internal jugular, and femoral sites in an intensive care unit population. Critical Care Medicine 2005; 33(1).

37. Lorente L, Villegas J, Martin MM, Jimenez A, Mora ML, *et al. Catheterrelated infection in critically ill patients*. Intensive Care Medicine 2004; 30: 1681–4.

38. Durbec O, Viviand X, Potie F, Vialet R, Albanese J, Martin C, et al. *A prospective evaluation of the use of femoral venous catheters in critically ill adults*. Critical Care Medicine 1997; 25: 1986–9.

39. Reusch S, Walder B, Tramer MR. Complications of central venous catheters: internal jugular versus subclavian access – a systematic review. Critical Care Medicine 2002; 30: 454–60.

40. Hamilton HC, Foxcroft DR. *Central venous access sites for the prevention of venous thrombosis, stenosis and infection in patients requiring long-term intravenous therapy*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2007; (3). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004084.pub2. Art. No.: CD004084.

41. Warren DK, Zack JE, Mayfield JL, Chen A, Prentice D, Fraser VJ, et al. *The effect of an education programme on the incidence of central venous catheter associated bloodstream infection in a medical ICU*. Chest 2004; 126: 1612–8.

42. East D, Jacoby K. The effect of a nursing staff education program on compliance with central line care policy in the cardiac intensive care unit. Pediatric Nursing 2005; 31: 182–4.

43. Pronovost P, Needham D, Berenholtz S, Sinopoli D, Chu H, Cosgrove S, et al. *An intervention to decrease catheter-related bloodstream infections in the ICU.* The New England Journal of Medicine 2006; 355: 2725–32.

44. Boyce JM, Pittett D. HICPAC committee and HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA *Hand Hygiene Task Force: guideline for hand hygiene in health-care settings*. MMWR Recommendations and Reports 2002;51(RR16):1–44.

45. Mimoz O, Villeminey S, Ragot S, Dahyot-Fizelier C, Laksiri L, Petitpas F, Debaene B, et al. *Chlorhexidine-based antiseptic solution vs alcohol-based povidone–iodine for central venous catheter care*. Archives of Internal Medicine 2007; 167 (19): 2066–72.

46. Hanazaki K, Shingu K, Adachi W, Miyazaki T, Amano J, et al. *Chlorhexidine* dressing for the reduction in microbial colonization of the skin with central venous catheters: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Journal of Hospital Infection 1999; 42: 165–7.

47. Maki DG, Mermel LA, Kluger D, et al. The efficacy of a chlorhexidineimpregnated sponge for the prevention of intravascular-related infections: a prospective, randomized, controlled, multicenter study.Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology; 2000.

48. Ho KM, Litton E. Use of chlorhexidine-impregnated dressing to prevent vascular and epidural catheter colonization and infection: a meta-analysis. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2006; 58: 281–7.

49. Casey AL, Worthington T, Lambert PA, Quinn D, Faroqui MH, Elliott TS, et al. A randomized, prospective clinical trial to assess the potential infection risk associated with the PosiFlow needleless connector. Journal of Hospital Infection 2003; 54: 288–93.

50. McKee R, Dunsmuir R, Whitby M, Garden OJ. *Does antibiotic prophylaxis at the time of catheter insertion reduce the incidence of catheter-related sepsis in intravenous nutrition?* Journal of Hospital Infection 1985; 6: 419–25.

51. Ranson MR, Oppenheim BA, Jackson A, Kamthan AG, Scarffe JH. *Double-blind placebo controlled study of vancomycin prophylaxis for central venous catheter insertion in cancer patients*. Journal of Hospital Infection 1990; 15: 95–102.

52. Ljungman P, Ha"gglund H, Bjo" rkstrand B, Lo" nnqvist B, Ringde'n O. *Peroperative teicoplanin for prevention of gram-positive infections in neutropenic patients with indwelling central venous catheters: a randomized, controlled study.* Support Care Cancer 1997;5:485–8.

53. Siegman-Igra Y, Anglim AM, Shapiro DE, Adal KA, Strain BA, Farr BM, et al. *Diagnosis of vascular catheter-related bloodstream infection: a meta-analysis.* Journal of Clinical Microbiology 1997; 35: 928–36.

54. Blot F, Nitenberg G, Chachaty E, Raynard B, Germann N, Antoun S, et al. *Diagnosis of catheter-related bacteremia: a prospective comparison of the time to positivity of hub blood versus peripheral-blood cultures.* Lancet 1999; 354: 1071–7.

55. Raad I, Hanna HA, Alakech B, Chatzinikolaou I, Johnson MM, Tarrand J. *Differential time to positivity: a useful method for diagnosing catheter-related bloodstream infections*. Annals of Internal Medicine 2004; 140 (1): 18–25.

56. Li JS, Sexton DJ, Mick N, Nettles R, Fowler Jr VG, Ryan T, et al. Proposed modifications to the Duke criteria for the diagnosis of infective endocarditis. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2000;30: 633–8.

57. Raad I. Intravascular-catheter-related infections. Lancet 1998;351: 893-8.

58. Messing B, Man F, Colimon R, Thuillier F, Beliah M, et al. Antibiotic lock technique is an effective treatment of bacterial catheter related sepsis during parenteral nutrition. Clinical Nutrition 1990;9: 220–7.

59. Randolph AG, Cook DJ, Gonzales CA, Andrew M. Benefit of heparin in peripheral venous and arterial catheters: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. British Medical Journal 1998; 316: 969–75.

60. Goode CJ, Titler M, Rakel B, et al. A meta-analysis of effects of heparin flush and saline flush: quality and cost implications. Nursing Research 1991;40:324–30.

61. Peterson FY, Kirchoff KT. Analysis of the research about heparinized versus nonheparinized intravascular lines. Heart and Lung 1991;20: 631–40.

62. Jacobs BR, Schilling S, Doellman D, Hutchinson N, Rickey M, Nelson S, et al. *Central venous catheter occlusion: a prospective, controlled trial examining the impact of a positive-pressure valve device.* Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition 2004; 28: 113–8.

63. Jay R, Grove JR, Pevec WC. Venous thrombosis related to peripherally inserted central catheters. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology 2000;11: 837–40.

64. Ong B, Gibbs H, Catchpole I, Hetherington R, Harper J, et al. *Peripherally inserted central catheters and upper extremity deep vein thrombosis*. Australasian Radiology 2006; 50: 451–4.